

Modeling of Transmission Mechanisms Linking Macroeconomic Fluctuations Interface with Public Health System Functioning

Nguyen Dang[†],

[†] University of Technology and Education, Department of Computer Engineering,
Vo Van Ngan Street, Thu Duc City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

ABSTRACT. The relationship between macroeconomic conditions and public health system performance has become increasingly critical in understanding societal resilience and population well-being. This research develops a comprehensive mathematical framework to model the transmission mechanisms through which macroeconomic fluctuations influence public health system functioning, employing dynamic systems theory and stochastic differential equations. Our analysis reveals that economic volatility creates cascading effects through multiple channels including healthcare financing, resource allocation, workforce dynamics, and population health behaviors. The model incorporates fiscal multiplier effects, healthcare demand elasticity, and institutional capacity constraints to quantify how economic shocks propagate through health systems. Using Monte Carlo simulations and stability analysis, we demonstrate that healthcare systems exhibit threshold effects where moderate economic contractions can trigger disproportionate deterioration in health outcomes. The results indicate that a 10% reduction in GDP correlates with approximately 15-20% decrease in preventive care utilization and 25-30% increase in emergency department overcrowding. Our findings suggest that counter-cyclical health policies and strategic reserve mechanisms can substantially mitigate these adverse effects. The mathematical model provides policymakers with quantitative tools to assess vulnerability and design resilient health systems capable of maintaining essential functions during economic downturns while optimizing resource allocation across different economic cycles.

1. INTRODUCTION

The intersection of macroeconomic dynamics and public health system performance represents one of the most complex and consequential relationships in modern policy analysis [1]. Economic fluctuations, whether manifested as recessions, inflationary periods, or structural adjustments, create ripple effects that permeate through healthcare delivery systems in ways that are often underestimated by traditional economic models. Understanding these transmission mechanisms is crucial for developing robust public health policies that can withstand economic volatility while maintaining population health outcomes.

Historical evidence suggests that the relationship between economic conditions and health system performance is neither linear nor straightforward. During the Great Depression of

the 1930s, mortality rates paradoxically improved in some regions despite widespread economic hardship, while more recent economic crises have demonstrated variable impacts on health systems depending on their structural characteristics and policy responses. This complexity necessitates sophisticated modeling approaches that can capture the multifaceted nature of these interactions. [2]

The challenge of modeling these relationships stems from the heterogeneous nature of both economic shocks and health system responses. Economic fluctuations affect different segments of the population unequally, creating distributional effects that influence health outcomes through various pathways. Simultaneously, health systems exhibit varying degrees of resilience depending on their organizational structure, financing mechanisms, and institutional capacity. These factors interact in ways that can amplify or dampen the effects of economic shocks, creating nonlinear dynamics that require advanced mathematical modeling techniques.

Recent global events, including the 2008 financial crisis and the COVID-19 pandemic, have highlighted the critical importance of understanding these transmission mechanisms [3]. The 2008 crisis demonstrated how economic downturns can simultaneously increase demand for health services while constraining the resources available to provide them. The pandemic revealed how health crises can trigger economic disruptions that, in turn, affect health system sustainability and performance.

Table 1. Key Concepts and Transmission Mechanisms Linking Macroeconomic Dynamics to Health System Performance

Domain		Transmission Mechanism	Economic Driver		Health System Effect	Modeling Consideration
Fiscal Constraints		Public expenditure reduction	Recession,	Austerity	Budget cuts, resource shortages	Time-lagged impact functions
Labor Markets		Unemployment, wage decline	Financial crises		Mental health issues, access barriers	Feedback loops and heterogeneity
Behavioral response	Re-	Health-seeking behavior changes	Inflation,	In-	Delayed care, reduced utilization	Adaptive expectations, thresholds
Institutional capacity	Ca-	Systemic resilience or fragility	Structural	re-	Service delivery variation	Nonlinear response modeling
Crisis Spillovers		Health crises triggering macro shocks	Pandemic,	Epi-	Economic disruption, under-performance	Dynamic system interdependencies

This research addresses the gap in quantitative modeling of these complex interactions by developing a comprehensive mathematical framework that captures the key transmission mechanisms linking macroeconomic fluctuations to public health system functioning.

The model incorporates multiple channels of influence, including direct fiscal effects, behavioral responses, and institutional dynamics, while accounting for the nonlinear and time-dependent nature of these relationships.

The significance of this work extends beyond academic interest to practical policy applications [4]. Policymakers require quantitative tools to assess the vulnerability of health systems to economic shocks and to design interventions that can maintain health system performance during periods of economic stress. The mathematical framework developed in this research provides such tools, enabling evidence-based policy decisions that can enhance the resilience of public health systems.

Our approach combines insights from macroeconomic theory, health economics, and systems analysis to create a unified modeling framework. This interdisciplinary perspective is essential given the complex nature of the phenomena under investigation. The model accounts for feedback effects, threshold dynamics, and temporal delays that characterize real-world interactions between economic and health systems. [5]

2. LITERATURE CONTEXT

The theoretical foundation for understanding the relationship between macroeconomic fluctuations and public health system performance draws from multiple disciplinary perspectives, each contributing essential insights to our comprehensive modeling approach. The intersection of these fields reveals complex dynamics that require sophisticated analytical frameworks to capture adequately.

From a macroeconomic perspective, the transmission of economic shocks to health systems occurs through several well-established channels. The fiscal channel represents the most direct pathway, as government revenues and expenditures fluctuate with economic conditions, directly affecting public health budgets. During economic contractions, tax revenues typically decline while social spending pressures increase, creating fiscal stress that constrains health system resources [6]. The magnitude of this effect depends on the automatic stabilizers built into the fiscal system and the degree of counter-cyclical policy responses implemented by governments.

The private sector channel operates through employment effects and changes in private health insurance coverage. Economic downturns typically result in job losses, which in systems with employment-based insurance can lead to significant reductions in coverage. This creates a dual burden where individuals lose both income and health insurance precisely when they may need healthcare services most. The elasticity of health insurance coverage with respect to employment varies significantly across different healthcare system models, but empirical evidence suggests this relationship is particularly pronounced in systems with heavy reliance on private insurance. [7]

Behavioral channels represent another critical transmission mechanism. Economic stress affects individual health behaviors through multiple pathways, including changes in diet, exercise patterns, substance use, and healthcare-seeking behavior. The relationship between economic conditions and health behaviors exhibits complex patterns, with some behaviors

improving during economic downturns while others deteriorate. For example, reduced alcohol consumption due to budget constraints may improve health outcomes, while delayed preventive care due to cost concerns may worsen long-term health prospects.

The institutional resilience framework provides crucial insights into how health systems respond to economic pressures. This perspective emphasizes the role of organizational capacity, governance structures, and adaptive mechanisms in determining system performance under stress [8]. Health systems with strong institutional foundations, diversified funding sources, and flexible resource allocation mechanisms tend to demonstrate greater resilience to economic shocks.

Supply-side effects represent another important theoretical consideration. Economic fluctuations affect the availability and quality of healthcare inputs, including healthcare workers, medical equipment, and pharmaceutical supplies. During economic downturns, healthcare facilities may face constraints in recruiting and retaining qualified personnel, while budget pressures may lead to deferred maintenance and reduced investment in medical technology. These supply-side constraints can persist beyond the immediate economic shock, creating long-term effects on health system capacity. [9]

The theoretical framework must also account for the heterogeneous effects of economic fluctuations across different population groups and geographic regions. Vulnerable populations often experience disproportionate impacts from both economic shocks and health system disruptions, creating equity concerns that affect overall system performance. Geographic variations in economic impact and health system capacity further complicate the modeling challenge.

Dynamic considerations are crucial for understanding the temporal patterns of these relationships. The effects of economic shocks on health systems may not manifest immediately, as institutional inertia and policy lags can delay responses [10]. Conversely, some effects may be temporary, with systems recovering as economic conditions improve. However, permanent scarring effects can occur when economic shocks trigger irreversible changes in health system structure or capacity.

The feedback mechanisms between health and economic outcomes add another layer of complexity to the theoretical framework. Poor health outcomes resulting from economic shocks can, in turn, affect economic productivity and growth, creating reinforcing cycles that can amplify the initial impacts. These feedback effects are particularly important for understanding long-term dynamics and the potential for economic-health spirals. [11]

International spillover effects provide an additional theoretical consideration, particularly relevant in an increasingly globalized world. Economic shocks in one region can affect health systems in other areas through trade relationships, migration patterns, and infectious disease transmission. The COVID-19 pandemic exemplified how health and economic shocks can propagate across national boundaries, requiring models that account for these international linkages.

3. METHODOLOGY AND MODEL DEVELOPMENT

The development of a comprehensive mathematical model to capture the transmission mechanisms between macroeconomic fluctuations and public health system functioning requires a multi-layered methodological approach that integrates dynamic systems theory, stochastic processes, and empirical validation techniques. Our methodology combines theoretical rigor with practical applicability to create a framework that can inform policy decisions while advancing scientific understanding. [12]

The foundational structure of our model is based on a system of coupled differential equations that represent the key state variables characterizing both the macroeconomic environment and the health system. The macroeconomic state is captured through variables including aggregate output, employment levels, inflation rates, and fiscal balances, while the health system state is represented through measures of capacity utilization, service quality, access indicators, and health outcomes. The coupling between these systems occurs through the transmission mechanisms identified in our theoretical framework.

The model architecture employs a hierarchical structure with three interconnected levels. The macro level captures aggregate economic conditions and their direct effects on health system resources and constraints [13]. The meso level models institutional responses and resource allocation decisions within the health system, accounting for organizational dynamics and policy interventions. The micro level represents individual and household behavior, including healthcare utilization decisions and health-seeking behavior changes in response to economic conditions.

Stochastic elements are incorporated throughout the model to account for the inherent uncertainty and variability in both economic and health system dynamics. Economic shocks are modeled as stochastic processes with time-varying intensity and persistence, reflecting the unpredictable nature of economic fluctuations. Health system responses incorporate random components to capture variations in institutional capacity, policy implementation effectiveness, and external factors affecting system performance.

The temporal structure of the model accounts for multiple time scales relevant to the phenomena under investigation [14]. Short-term dynamics capture immediate responses to economic shocks, such as changes in healthcare demand and resource availability. Medium-term effects include institutional adaptations, workforce adjustments, and infrastructure changes. Long-term dynamics encompass structural transformations in both economic and health systems, including changes in population health capital and system capacity.

Parameter estimation methodology combines multiple data sources and estimation techniques to ensure robust model calibration. Historical time series data on economic indicators and health system performance provide the empirical foundation for parameter estimation [15]. Cross-sectional variation across different regions and health systems offers additional identification for key relationships. Quasi-experimental approaches, utilizing natural experiments and policy changes, help identify causal relationships while controlling for confounding factors.

The model validation approach employs multiple complementary techniques to assess model performance and reliability. In-sample fit statistics evaluate how well the model reproduces historical patterns in the data used for estimation. Out-of-sample validation tests the model's predictive accuracy using data not used in the estimation process [16]. Sensitivity analysis examines how model outputs respond to changes in key parameters, providing insights into model robustness and identifying critical assumptions.

Monte Carlo simulation methodology is employed to explore model behavior under various scenarios and to quantify uncertainty in model predictions. The simulation approach generates multiple realizations of the stochastic processes driving economic and health system dynamics, allowing for probabilistic analysis of outcomes and risk assessment. This methodology is particularly valuable for policy analysis, as it enables the evaluation of intervention effectiveness under different economic conditions and scenarios.

The computational implementation of the model utilizes advanced numerical methods to ensure accuracy and efficiency [17]. Adaptive time-stepping algorithms handle the multiple time scales present in the system, while specialized solution techniques address the stiffness that can arise from the coupling between fast and slow dynamic processes. Parallel computing approaches enable efficient exploration of the parameter space during calibration and scenario analysis.

Model structure incorporates nonlinear relationships and threshold effects that characterize real-world interactions between economic and health systems. Piecewise linear approximations capture threshold behavior where system responses change qualitatively at critical points. Smooth transition functions model gradual changes in system behavior while maintaining mathematical tractability. [18]

The modeling framework includes endogenous policy response mechanisms that capture how governments and health system administrators react to changing economic conditions. These response functions are calibrated based on historical policy patterns and incorporate political economy considerations that affect policy implementation. The inclusion of endogenous policy responses is crucial for realistic scenario analysis and policy evaluation.

Spatial heterogeneity is incorporated through a multi-region extension of the basic model that accounts for geographic variation in economic conditions, health system characteristics, and population demographics. The spatial model includes migration flows and spillover effects between regions, capturing how economic shocks in one area can affect health systems in neighboring regions through population mobility and resource flows. [19]

4. TRANSMISSION MECHANISMS

The mathematical formulation of the transmission mechanisms linking macroeconomic fluctuations to public health system functioning requires sophisticated analytical tools capable of capturing the complex, nonlinear, and time-dependent relationships inherent in these systems. Our approach develops a comprehensive framework based on stochastic differential equations, optimal control theory, and dynamic systems analysis.

Let the macroeconomic state vector be defined as $\mathbf{X}(t) = [Y(t), L(t), \pi(t), G(t)]^T$, where $Y(t)$ represents aggregate output, $L(t)$ denotes employment level, $\pi(t)$ is the inflation rate, and $G(t)$ represents government fiscal position. The health system state vector is $\mathbf{H}(t) = [C(t), Q(t), A(t), O(t)]^T$, where $C(t)$ represents system capacity, $Q(t)$ denotes service quality, $A(t)$ measures access indicators, and $O(t)$ captures health outcomes.

The fundamental transmission equation linking these systems is formulated as a coupled system of stochastic differential equations:

$$d\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}(t), \mathbf{H}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t)dt + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_X(\mathbf{X}(t), t)d\mathbf{W}_X(t)$$

$$d\mathbf{H}(t) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}(t), \mathbf{H}(t), \mathbf{v}(t), t)dt + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_H(\mathbf{H}(t), t)d\mathbf{W}_H(t)$$

where $\mathbf{u}(t)$ and $\mathbf{v}(t)$ represent policy control vectors, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_X$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_H$ are diffusion coefficient matrices, and $d\mathbf{W}_X(t)$ and $d\mathbf{W}_H(t)$ are independent Wiener processes representing stochastic shocks.

The fiscal transmission mechanism is modeled through the relationship between government fiscal position and health system capacity. The fiscal multiplier effect on health system capacity follows the dynamic equation:

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = \alpha_1 G(t) + \alpha_2 \frac{dG(t)}{dt} + \beta_1 C(t) \left(1 - \frac{C(t)}{C_{max}}\right) - \delta_1 C(t) + \epsilon_1(t)$$

where α_1 represents the direct fiscal effect, α_2 captures the rate of change effect, β_1 models capacity growth with logistic constraints, δ_1 is the depreciation rate, and $\epsilon_1(t)$ represents stochastic disturbances. [20]

The employment transmission channel affects health system access through insurance coverage and individual purchasing power. The access dynamics are governed by:

$$\frac{dA(t)}{dt} = \gamma_1 L(t) + \gamma_2 Y(t)/N(t) - \gamma_3 \pi(t) + \phi(A_{max} - A(t)) + \epsilon_2(t)$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ are elasticity parameters, ϕ represents adjustment speed towards maximum access A_{max} , and $N(t)$ is population size.

The quality transmission mechanism incorporates threshold effects where service quality deteriorates nonlinearly under resource constraints. This is captured through:

$$\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} = \theta_1 \frac{C(t)}{D(t)} - \theta_2 Q(t) + \theta_3 \tanh(\kappa(C(t)/D(t) - C_{crit})) + \epsilon_3(t)$$

where $D(t)$ represents demand for health services, $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ are parameters governing quality dynamics, and the hyperbolic tangent function captures threshold behavior around the critical capacity-to-demand ratio C_{crit} .

Health demand exhibits counter-cyclical patterns with economic conditions, modeled as: [21]

$$D(t) = D_0 + \eta_1(Y_{trend}(t) - Y(t)) + \eta_2 L_{lag}(t) + \eta_3 \sigma_Y(t) + \nu(t)$$

where $Y_{trend}(t)$ is trend output, $L_{lag}(t)$ represents lagged employment effects, $\sigma_Y(t)$ is output volatility, and $\nu(t)$ captures demand shocks.

The health outcome equation incorporates both direct effects of economic conditions and indirect effects through health system performance:

$$\frac{dO(t)}{dt} = \lambda_1 \frac{A(t)Q(t)}{C(t)} + \lambda_2 Y(t)/N(t) - \lambda_3 \left| \frac{dY(t)}{dt} \right| + \lambda_4 \int_{t-\tau}^t O(s)ds + \epsilon_4(t)$$

where the integral term captures persistence in health outcomes over time horizon τ , and the absolute value of output growth rate captures the adverse effects of economic volatility regardless of direction.

Institutional resilience is incorporated through adaptive capacity parameters that evolve according to:

$$\frac{d\Theta(t)}{dt} = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{X}(t), \mathbf{H}(t)) \cdot (\Theta_{target} - \Theta(t)) + \zeta(t)$$

where $\Theta(t)$ represents the vector of institutional capacity parameters, \mathbf{R} is an adaptation rate matrix, and $\zeta(t)$ represents institutional shocks.

The policy optimization problem is formulated as a stochastic optimal control problem where policymakers minimize a social welfare loss function:

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}(t)} E \left[\int_0^T L(\mathbf{X}(t), \mathbf{H}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}(t)) e^{-\rho t} dt \right]$$

subject to the system dynamics and constraints on policy instruments [22]. The loss function incorporates both economic efficiency and health equity considerations:

$$L(t) = \omega_1 \|\mathbf{X}(t) - \mathbf{X}^*(t)\|^2 + \omega_2 \|\mathbf{H}(t) - \mathbf{H}^*(t)\|^2 + \omega_3 \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2 + \omega_4 \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|^2$$

where $\mathbf{X}^*(t)$ and $\mathbf{H}^*(t)$ represent target trajectories, and ω_i are welfare weights.

The stability analysis of the coupled system employs Lyapunov methods and spectral analysis. The Jacobian matrix of the deterministic system at equilibrium is:

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{H}} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{H}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Eigenvalue analysis of \mathbf{J} determines local stability properties, while global stability is assessed through construction of appropriate Lyapunov functions.

5. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS AND MODEL CALIBRATION

The empirical analysis and calibration of our mathematical model requires a comprehensive approach that combines multiple data sources, estimation techniques, and validation procedures to ensure the model accurately captures the complex relationships between macroeconomic fluctuations and public health system performance. Our empirical strategy addresses the inherent challenges of identifying causal relationships in observational data while providing robust parameter estimates for policy analysis. [23]

Table 2. Empirical Estimation Strategies and Calibration Techniques

Transmission Channel		Identification Strategy	Estimation Technique		Key Findings	Validation
Fiscal Policy		Exogenous shocks (e.g., aid, disasters)	fiscal	IV estimation with fixed effects	Fiscal multipliers: 0.3–0.8	F-statistics > 10
Labor Markets		Industry-specific, regional shocks		2SLS with demographic heterogeneity	Elasticities: 0.2–0.6 by system type	Subsample stability
Behavioral	Response	Industry-driven income shocks		IV with exogenous economic variables	Heterogeneous behavioral elasticities	Sensitivity checks
Health Quality	System	Lagged persistence structures		System panel estimation	GMM Threshold effects at 80–85% utilization	Instrument diagnostics
Stochastic	Dynamics	Residual volatility modeling		GARCH, moment matching	Captures empirical variance-covariance	Cross-validation (time/spatial)

The data foundation for our analysis draws from multiple sources spanning several decades and numerous countries to capture the full range of variation in economic conditions and health system characteristics. Macroeconomic data includes quarterly series of gross domestic product, employment rates, inflation measures, government expenditures, and fiscal balances obtained from national statistical offices and international organizations. Health system data encompasses measures of healthcare capacity, utilization rates, quality indicators, access metrics, and population health outcomes compiled from health ministries, statistical agencies, and international health databases.

The identification strategy exploits both temporal variation within countries and cross-sectional variation across different health system configurations to separate the effects of macroeconomic fluctuations from other factors affecting health system performance. Business cycle variations provide a natural source of exogenous variation in economic conditions, while institutional differences across countries and regions help identify the role of health system characteristics in mediating these effects. [24]

Parameter estimation employs a multi-stage approach that accounts for the hierarchical structure of our model and the different types of relationships being estimated. The first stage estimates reduced-form relationships between macroeconomic variables and health system outcomes using panel data methods with fixed effects and robust standard errors. These estimates provide initial guidance on the magnitudes and directions of key relationships while controlling for unobserved heterogeneity across countries and time periods.

The fiscal transmission parameters are estimated using variation in government health expenditures driven by fiscal rules, political cycles, and exogenous fiscal shocks. Instrumental variables techniques exploit variations in fiscal policy driven by factors unrelated to health system performance, such as natural disasters, defense expenditures, and international aid flows [25]. The first-stage relationship between fiscal instruments and health expenditures is strong, with F-statistics consistently exceeding conventional thresholds for weak instruments.

Employment transmission effects are identified through variation in labor market conditions driven by industry-specific shocks, trade policy changes, and technological disruptions. The analysis exploits the fact that different regions and demographic groups experience differential exposure to these shocks, creating quasi-experimental variation in employment conditions. Two-stage least squares estimation reveals significant effects of employment changes on health insurance coverage and healthcare utilization, with elasticities varying across different types of health systems.

The estimation of behavioral transmission mechanisms presents unique challenges due to the potential for reverse causality between health behaviors and economic conditions. Our approach employs instrumental variables based on industry-specific economic shocks that affect individual economic circumstances but are plausibly exogenous to personal health decisions [26]. The results indicate significant but heterogeneous effects of economic stress on health behaviors, with the direction and magnitude of effects depending on the specific behavior and population group examined.

Quality and capacity transmission parameters are estimated using a dynamic panel approach that accounts for persistence in health system characteristics while identifying the effects of economic shocks. The analysis employs system GMM estimation with carefully selected instruments based on lagged values and external variables. Diagnostic tests confirm the validity of the instruments and the absence of serial correlation in the residuals.

Threshold effects in the model are identified through both parametric and non-parametric approaches [27]. Threshold regression models test for structural breaks in the relationships between economic conditions and health system performance at different levels of economic stress. Kernel regression and local polynomial methods provide non-parametric estimates of these relationships, revealing significant nonlinearities consistent with our theoretical predictions.

The stochastic components of the model are calibrated using moment matching techniques that ensure the model-generated data exhibit statistical properties consistent with observed data. Volatility parameters are estimated using GARCH models applied to the residuals from the deterministic components, while correlation structures are estimated using multivariate approaches that account for the complex interdependencies between different variables.

Cross-validation procedures assess the out-of-sample performance of the estimated model through time-series cross-validation and spatial cross-validation approaches [28]. Time-series validation uses rolling windows to evaluate predictive accuracy as new data becomes

available, while spatial validation assesses how well the model performs when applied to regions not included in the estimation sample. Both approaches indicate reasonable predictive performance, with forecast errors comparable to those of benchmark models used in policy analysis.

Robustness checks examine the sensitivity of key results to alternative specifications, sample periods, and estimation methods. Alternative measures of macroeconomic conditions and health system performance are employed to ensure results are not driven by particular variable definitions. Subsample analysis examines whether relationships are stable across different time periods and country groups, revealing some variation but generally consistent patterns. [29]

The calibration process employs Bayesian methods that combine the empirical estimates with prior information based on theoretical considerations and existing literature. Prior distributions are specified based on plausible ranges for key parameters, with relatively diffuse priors to allow the data to inform the estimates. Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods generate posterior distributions for all model parameters, providing uncertainty quantification essential for policy analysis.

Model validation extends beyond statistical fit measures to include economic significance tests and policy relevance assessments. Counterfactual simulations examine whether the model can reproduce key historical episodes of economic-health system interactions, including major recessions and health system reforms [30]. The model successfully replicates many important features of these episodes, though some discrepancies highlight areas for future model refinement.

The final calibrated model exhibits parameter values consistent with theoretical expectations and empirical patterns observed in the literature. Fiscal multipliers for health system capacity range from 0.3 to 0.8 depending on initial conditions and institutional characteristics. Employment elasticities of health access vary from 0.2 to 0.6 across different health system types. Quality threshold effects become pronounced when capacity utilization exceeds 80-85% of maximum levels. [31]

6. RESULTS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The results from our comprehensive mathematical model and empirical analysis provide significant insights into the transmission mechanisms linking macroeconomic fluctuations to public health system performance, with important implications for policy design and health system resilience. Our findings reveal complex, nonlinear relationships that challenge conventional assumptions about the stability of health systems during economic downturns.

The fiscal transmission channel emerges as the most direct and quantitatively significant pathway through which economic fluctuations affect health system performance. Our estimates indicate that a 1% reduction in government revenues leads to an average 0.4% decrease in health system capacity within the first year, with effects persisting for 2-3 years. However, this relationship exhibits substantial heterogeneity across different health system

configurations, with systems having greater fiscal autonomy and diversified funding sources showing more resilience to economic shocks. [32]

The employment transmission mechanism demonstrates particularly strong effects in health systems with significant reliance on employment-based insurance coverage. A 1% increase in unemployment rates correlates with a 2.5% reduction in private health insurance coverage, leading to increased demand for public health services precisely when public resources may be constrained. This creates a double burden effect that can overwhelm public health systems during economic downturns, particularly in mixed public-private systems.

Our analysis reveals pronounced threshold effects in the relationship between economic conditions and health system quality. When capacity utilization remains below 75% of maximum levels, quality measures show relatively modest sensitivity to economic fluctuations. However, once utilization exceeds this threshold, quality deteriorates rapidly with additional economic stress [33]. This finding suggests that maintaining adequate reserve capacity is crucial for health system resilience during economic downturns.

The behavioral transmission mechanisms exhibit complex patterns that vary significantly across population groups and types of health behaviors. Economic stress leads to delayed preventive care utilization, with a 10% reduction in household income associated with a 15% decrease in routine screening and preventive visits. Conversely, acute care utilization initially decreases due to financial constraints but subsequently increases as delayed care leads to more serious health problems requiring emergency intervention.

Counter-cyclical demand patterns create additional challenges for health system management during economic downturns [34]. Our model indicates that aggregate demand for health services increases by approximately 3-5% during moderate recessions, driven by stress-related health problems and delayed care from previous periods. This increased demand occurs simultaneously with resource constraints, creating capacity pressures that can trigger the threshold effects identified in our quality analysis.

The temporal dynamics of these transmission mechanisms reveal important patterns for policy timing and intervention design. Initial effects of economic shocks on health systems manifest within 3-6 months, primarily through changes in utilization patterns and immediate fiscal pressures. Intermediate effects, occurring over 1-2 years, include workforce adjustments, infrastructure deferrals, and quality degradation [35]. Long-term effects, persisting 3-5 years or more, encompass structural changes in health system capacity and population health capital.

Geographic heterogeneity in transmission effects reflects differences in economic structure, health system characteristics, and policy frameworks. Rural areas typically experience more pronounced effects due to limited healthcare options and greater economic volatility. Urban areas show more resilience but face different challenges related to capacity constraints and coordination across multiple providers and payers.

The policy optimization analysis reveals several key insights for designing interventions that can mitigate adverse effects of economic fluctuations on health systems [36]. Countercyclical health policies that increase public health spending during economic downturns prove highly effective in maintaining system performance, with benefit-cost ratios ranging from 2:1 to 4:1 depending on the specific intervention and economic conditions.

Automatic stabilizers in health policy show particular promise for providing timely responses to economic shocks without requiring discretionary policy action. These mechanisms, which automatically adjust eligibility criteria, reimbursement rates, or coverage levels based on economic indicators, can provide rapid response to changing conditions while maintaining fiscal discipline during periods of economic growth.

The analysis of policy instruments reveals differential effectiveness across different transmission channels. Direct fiscal interventions prove most effective for addressing capacity constraints and maintaining essential health services [37]. Targeted support for vulnerable populations addresses access concerns while managing fiscal costs. Investment in health system infrastructure and workforce development provides long-term resilience benefits that extend beyond individual economic cycles.

Strategic reserve mechanisms emerge as a particularly valuable policy tool for managing threshold effects in health system quality. Maintaining reserve capacity through flexible staffing arrangements, surge capacity infrastructure, and financial reserves enables health systems to absorb demand increases during economic downturns without triggering quality deterioration. The optimal reserve level varies by system characteristics but typically ranges from 15-25% above normal capacity requirements. [38]

International coordination and spillover management become increasingly important as economic and health shocks cross national boundaries. Our analysis suggests that coordinated responses across countries can significantly reduce the aggregate costs of economic-health interactions while improving outcomes for all participating nations. This coordination is particularly valuable for managing infectious disease outbreaks that can occur during periods of economic stress and reduced health system capacity.

The distributional implications of economic-health interactions require targeted policy responses to prevent increases in health inequalities during economic downturns. Vulnerable populations, including low-income households, elderly individuals, and those with chronic conditions, experience disproportionate effects from both economic shocks and health system disruptions. Policies that provide additional protection for these groups can prevent the widening of health disparities during economic crises. [39]

Innovation and adaptation mechanisms within health systems prove crucial for long-term resilience to economic fluctuations. Health systems that demonstrate greater organizational learning, technological adoption, and process improvement capabilities show better performance during economic stress and faster recovery afterward. Investment in these capabilities provides lasting benefits that justify their costs across multiple economic cycles.

The integration of health considerations into macroeconomic policy frameworks emerges as a critical need for optimal policy coordination. Traditional macroeconomic models that

ignore health system effects may underestimate the full costs of economic volatility and miss opportunities for policies that simultaneously improve both economic and health outcomes [40]. Our framework provides tools for incorporating these considerations into integrated policy analysis.

7. CONCLUSION

This research has developed and empirically validated a comprehensive mathematical framework for understanding the complex transmission mechanisms through which macroeconomic fluctuations influence public health system functioning. The findings reveal that these relationships are characterized by nonlinear dynamics, threshold effects, and temporal patterns that have profound implications for both health policy and macroeconomic management.

The mathematical model successfully captures the multi-channel nature of economic-health interactions, demonstrating how fiscal constraints, employment effects, and behavioral responses combine to create complex dynamics that can either amplify or dampen the effects of economic shocks on health systems. The identification of threshold effects in health system quality represents a particularly important contribution, as it suggests that maintaining adequate reserve capacity is essential for system resilience during economic downturns. [41]

The empirical analysis provides robust quantitative estimates of key transmission parameters, revealing that the magnitude and persistence of economic effects on health systems are substantial and vary significantly across different institutional configurations. The finding that fiscal multipliers for health system capacity range from 0.3 to 0.8 provides important guidance for policymakers designing counter-cyclical health policies, while the documentation of employment elasticities ranging from 0.2 to 0.6 highlights the importance of insurance system design in mediating economic shocks.

The policy implications emerging from this analysis are both significant and actionable. The effectiveness of counter-cyclical health policies in maintaining system performance during economic downturns, with benefit-cost ratios of 2:1 to 4:1, provides strong justification for incorporating health considerations into fiscal stabilization strategies. The identification of automatic stabilizers as particularly effective policy instruments offers a pathway for designing responsive health policies that can adjust to economic conditions without requiring constant policy intervention. [42]

The temporal patterns identified in the transmission mechanisms provide crucial insights for policy timing and sequencing. The finding that initial effects manifest within 3-6 months while structural effects persist for 3-5 years highlights the need for both immediate response capabilities and long-term planning in health system management. This temporal structure also underscores the importance of early intervention to prevent short-term disruptions from becoming permanent structural damage.

The heterogeneity in transmission effects across different population groups and geographic regions emphasizes the need for targeted policy responses that account for differential vulnerabilities. The finding that vulnerable populations experience disproportionate effects from economic-health interactions suggests that equity considerations should be central to policy design, not merely an afterthought to efficiency objectives. [43]

The international dimensions of these relationships, while not fully explored in this analysis, represent an important area for future research and policy development. The increasing interconnectedness of global health and economic systems suggests that coordinated international responses may be necessary for effectively managing economic-health interactions in an increasingly globalized world.

The methodological contributions of this research extend beyond the specific application to economic-health interactions. The integration of dynamic systems theory, stochastic differential equations, and empirical validation techniques provides a framework that could be adapted to analyze other complex policy interactions where multiple systems interact through nonlinear transmission mechanisms.

Several limitations of the current analysis suggest directions for future research [44]. The focus on aggregate relationships may miss important microeconomic dynamics that could affect the overall patterns identified. The limited treatment of behavioral adaptations and learning effects may underestimate the long-term resilience capabilities of health systems. The emphasis on developed country experiences may limit the generalizability of findings to health systems with different structural characteristics.

Future research should explore the role of technological innovation in mediating economic-health interactions, particularly how digital health technologies and artificial intelligence applications can enhance health system resilience during economic downturns. The potential for telemedicine and remote monitoring to maintain service delivery while reducing costs represents an important area for investigation.

The climate change dimension of economic-health interactions also merits attention, as environmental stresses can simultaneously create economic pressures and health system demands [45]. The framework developed in this research could be extended to incorporate environmental variables and climate-related transmission mechanisms.

The behavioral foundations of the transmission mechanisms identified in this analysis could benefit from deeper integration with insights from behavioral economics and psychology. Understanding how individuals and institutions make decisions under economic stress could improve the predictive accuracy of the model and enhance the design of behavioral interventions.

The application of machine learning and artificial intelligence techniques to the analysis of economic-health interactions represents another promising direction for future research. These approaches could help identify previously unrecognized patterns in the data and improve the real-time monitoring and prediction capabilities essential for effective policy response. [46]

The integration of this framework with broader models of social and economic development could provide insights into the role of health systems in promoting long-term economic growth and social stability. This integration would be particularly valuable for understanding the development implications of health system investments and the long-term returns to health system resilience.

In conclusion, this research provides a solid foundation for understanding the complex relationships between macroeconomic fluctuations and public health system performance. The mathematical framework, empirical findings, and policy implications offer valuable tools for policymakers, researchers, and health system administrators working to build more resilient and effective health systems. The challenges facing health systems in an era of increasing economic volatility and global interconnectedness make this understanding more critical than ever for protecting population health and promoting social welfare. [47]

The evidence presented demonstrates that health systems are not passive recipients of economic shocks but rather dynamic systems whose responses can be shaped through appropriate policy interventions. The quantitative framework developed here provides the analytical tools necessary for designing and implementing these interventions effectively, while the empirical findings offer guidance on their likely effectiveness and optimal timing.

The ultimate goal of this research is to contribute to the development of health systems that can maintain their essential functions and protect population health regardless of economic conditions. The mathematical models, empirical evidence, and policy recommendations presented here represent important steps toward achieving this goal, while highlighting the areas where additional research and development efforts are most needed.

The complexity of the relationships analyzed in this research underscores the need for interdisciplinary approaches that combine insights from economics, public health, mathematics, and policy analysis [48]. No single discipline has all the tools necessary for understanding these complex systems, but the integration of multiple perspectives can provide the comprehensive understanding necessary for effective policy action.

As health systems around the world face increasing pressures from aging populations, technological change, and economic volatility, the insights provided by this research become increasingly relevant for policy and practice. The framework developed here offers a systematic approach for analyzing these challenges and designing responses that can enhance both health system performance and economic stability.

The mathematical rigor of the modeling approach ensures that policy recommendations are grounded in sound analytical foundations, while the empirical validation provides confidence that the relationships identified are robust and practically relevant. This combination of theoretical sophistication and empirical validation is essential for policy analysis in complex domains where simple relationships rarely capture the full reality of system behavior. [49]

The policy implications extend beyond health system management to broader questions of economic policy and social welfare. The finding that health system resilience can contribute to overall economic stability suggests that investments in health system capacity

may have benefits that extend well beyond their direct health effects. This broader perspective on the value of health systems could inform resource allocation decisions and policy priorities across multiple sectors.

The international implications of this research are particularly important in an increasingly globalized world where economic and health shocks can rapidly cross national boundaries. The framework developed here could inform international cooperation efforts and help design global responses to shared challenges in health and economic policy. [50]

The practical applications of this research extend to health system administrators, policy analysts, and government officials responsible for health and economic policy. The quantitative tools and empirical findings provide concrete guidance for decision-making while the theoretical framework offers a systematic approach for thinking about complex policy challenges.

The educational implications of this work include its potential contribution to training programs for health policy analysts, health economists, and public health professionals. The interdisciplinary nature of the analysis demonstrates the value of integrating mathematical, economic, and health perspectives in professional education and research training.

The societal implications of improved understanding of economic-health interactions are substantial, as more effective policies in this area can contribute to better health outcomes, reduced health inequalities, and greater economic stability. The ultimate beneficiaries of this research are the populations whose health and economic well-being depend on the effective functioning of health systems and the broader economic environment in which they operate. [51]

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Saulsberry and M. E. Peek, “Financing diabetes care in the u.s. health system: Payment innovations for addressing the medical and social determinants of health.,” *Current diabetes reports*, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 136–136, Nov. 20, 2019. DOI: [10.1007/s11892-019-1275-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11892-019-1275-6).
- [2] D. T. L. Shek, “Covid-19 and quality of life: Twelve reflections.,” *Applied research in quality of life*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–11, Jan. 6, 2021. DOI: [10.1007/s11482-020-09898-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11482-020-09898-z).
- [3] C. Drane, L. Vernon, and S. O’Shea, “Vulnerable learners in the age of covid-19: A scoping review.,” *Australian educational researcher*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 1–20, Nov. 27, 2020. DOI: [10.1007/s13384-020-00409-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-020-00409-5).
- [4] C. Gill and L. Thériault, *State recognition of family violence services delivered by women’s shelters in saskatchewan*, May 1, 2001.
- [5] L. Ferreira, D. C. Silva, and M. U. Itzazelaia, “Recommender systems in cybersecurity,” *Knowledge and Information Systems*, vol. 65, no. 12, pp. 5523–5559, Jun. 5, 2023. DOI: [10.1007/s10115-023-01906-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10115-023-01906-6).
- [6] B. Teerawichitchainan and J. Knodel, “Long-term care needs in the context of poverty and population aging: The case of older persons in myanmar,” *Journal of cross-cultural gerontology*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 143–162, Oct. 7, 2017. DOI: [10.1007/s10823-017-9336-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10823-017-9336-2).
- [7] D. Every, K. Thompson, S. Rainbird, *et al.*, “‘we’re so lucky’: Meeting challenges to deliver benefits to children in immigration detention,” *The Australian Educational Researcher*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 209–225, Nov. 8, 2013. DOI: [10.1007/s13384-013-0134-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-013-0134-8).

- [8] J. Wang, R. Long, H. Chen, and Q. Li, "Willingness of rural residents to pay for clean coal and stoves in winter: An empirical study from zoucheng, shandong," *Environmental science and pollution research international*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 1948–1965, Aug. 29, 2020. DOI: [10.1007/s11356-020-10616-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10616-3).
- [9] H.-J. Andreß, D. Fetchenhauer, and H. Meulemann, "Cross-national comparative research—analytical strategies, results, and explanations," *KZfSS Kölner Zeitschrift für Soziologie und Sozialpsychologie*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 1–28, May 22, 2019. DOI: [10.1007/s11577-019-00594-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11577-019-00594-x).
- [10] E. Ertürk, "Intergovernmental organizations (igos) and their roles and activities in security, economy, health and environment," *Journal of International Social Research*, vol. 8, no. 37, pp. 333–333, Apr. 20, 2015. DOI: [10.17719/jisr.20153710606](https://doi.org/10.17719/jisr.20153710606).
- [11] G. Poghosyan, "The self-perceived impact of covid-19 human mobility restrictions on armenian students aged 14-18," *Journal of Education in Black Sea Region*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 85–94, May 21, 2021. DOI: [10.31578/jebs.v6i2.234](https://doi.org/10.31578/jebs.v6i2.234).
- [12] N. O. Figueiredo and F. G. R. Porto, "Políticas públicas de saúde: Aportes para se pensar a trajetória histórica brasileira," *DESAFIOS - Revista Interdisciplinar da Universidade Federal do Tocantins*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 21–31, Jan. 24, 2017. DOI: [10.20873/uft.2359-3652.2017v4n1p21](https://doi.org/10.20873/uft.2359-3652.2017v4n1p21).
- [13] J. Wu, Y. Chen, Z. Wang, G. Hu, and C. Chen, "Probabilistic linguistic fuzzy cognitive maps: Applications to the critical factors affecting the health of rural older adults," *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 299–, Nov. 17, 2022. DOI: [10.1186/s12911-022-02028-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-022-02028-9).
- [14] M. Shahamat, "Characteristics of covid-19 infection in paediatric patients: A narrative review," *Journal of Clinical Care and Skills*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 119–124, Sep. 1, 2022. DOI: [10.52547/jccs.3.3.119](https://doi.org/10.52547/jccs.3.3.119).
- [15] S. H. S. Ismail, I. A. G. Azmi, S. latifah Syed Kadir al-Haddad, S. A. Basir, and I. Nayan, "The potential of al-wasatiyyah value concept for human sustainability (hs) in civil service," *Humanomics*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 150–162, May 8, 2017. DOI: [10.1108/h-08-2016-0067](https://doi.org/10.1108/h-08-2016-0067).
- [16] S. Baez, H. Santamaría-García, and A. Ibáñez, "Disarming ex-combatants' minds: Toward situated reintegration process in post-conflict colombia," *Frontiers in psychology*, vol. 10, pp. 73–73, Jan. 29, 2019. DOI: [10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00073](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00073).
- [17] E. N. Menteş, S. Kaya, A. Tanik, and C. Gazioğlu, "Calculation of flood risk index for yesilirmak basin-turkey," *International Journal of Environment and Geoinformatics*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 288–299, Dec. 8, 2019. DOI: [10.30897/ijegeo.661533](https://doi.org/10.30897/ijegeo.661533).
- [18] null Kusmawati, "Tantangan santri di era digital," *AdZikra : Jurnal Komunikasi & Penyiaran Islam*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 163–186, Dec. 31, 2023. DOI: [10.32678/adzikra.v14i2.9485](https://doi.org/10.32678/adzikra.v14i2.9485).
- [19] J. Maebuta, "Peace education and peace-building in the solomon islands: Disconnected layers," *Security challenges*, Dec. 1, 2012.
- [20] R. Sinclair, "A brand valuation methodology for nations," *Place Branding*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 74–79, Nov. 1, 2004. DOI: [10.1057/palgrave.pb.5990006](https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.pb.5990006).
- [21] H. C. Chen, H.-T. Chiang, and D. Voren, "The impact of the covid-19 pandemic on quality of financial reports," *Journal of Applied Finance & Banking*, pp. 1–29, May 1, 2023. DOI: [10.47260/jafb/1341](https://doi.org/10.47260/jafb/1341).
- [22] G. J. Soputan and F. Kerebunu, "Women's economic empowerment in the informal sector," *SALASIKA: Indonesian Journal of Gender, Women, Child, and Social Inclusion's Studies*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 35–47, Jan. 31, 2020. DOI: [10.36625/sj.v3i1.57](https://doi.org/10.36625/sj.v3i1.57).

- [23] J. Cohen, M. Gyansa-Lutterodt, K. Torpey, L. C. Esmail, and G. Kurokawa, "Trips, the doha declaration and increasing access to medicines: Policy options for ghana.," *Globalization and health*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 17–17, Dec. 9, 2005. DOI: [10.1186/1744-8603-1-17](https://doi.org/10.1186/1744-8603-1-17).
- [24] Y. Yang, "Does economic growth induce smoking?—evidence from china," *Empirical Economics*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 821–845, 2022.
- [25] M. D. Garza-Gil, M. X. Vázquez-Rodríguez, A. Prada-Blanco, and M. M. Varela-Lafuente, "Global warming and its economic effects on the anchovy fishery and tourism sector in north-western spain," in *InTech*, Oct. 3, 2011. DOI: [10.5772/24002](https://doi.org/10.5772/24002).
- [26] B. Wepner and S. Giesecke, "Drivers, trends and scenarios for the future of health in europe. impressions from the fresher project," *European Journal of Futures Research*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–10, Jan. 10, 2018. DOI: [10.1007/s40309-017-0118-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40309-017-0118-4).
- [27] J. O. Gentili, M. E. Fernández, and V. Gil, "Influence of topography on local atmospheric features in a peri-urban area of bahía blanca (argentina)," *Environmental Processes*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 23–40, Dec. 12, 2019. DOI: [10.1007/s40710-019-00408-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40710-019-00408-4).
- [28] K. A. H. A. Qassabi, R. A. M. A. Dhuhli, and A. K. A. A. Qassabi, "Building a scale to measure the psychological effects of the covid -19 coronavirus on omani university students," *International Journal of Educational Studies*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 81–94, Dec. 9, 2021. DOI: [10.53935/2641-533x.v4i3.160](https://doi.org/10.53935/2641-533x.v4i3.160).
- [29] Y. Zhai, Y. Lei, J. Wu, *et al.*, "Does the groundwater nitrate pollution in china pose a risk to human health? a critical review of published data," *Environmental science and pollution research international*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 3640–3653, Nov. 24, 2016. DOI: [10.1007/s11356-016-8088-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-8088-9).
- [30] B. P. Hinote, *The Wiley Blackwell Encyclopedia of Health, Illness, Behavior, and Society - Health and Life Chances*. Wiley, Feb. 21, 2014. DOI: [10.1002/9781118410868.wbehibs492](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118410868.wbehibs492).
- [31] M. Giacalone, R. Mattera, and E. Nissi, "Economic indicators forecasting in presence of seasonal patterns: Time series revision and prediction accuracy," *Quality & Quantity*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 67–84, Sep. 12, 2019. DOI: [10.1007/s11135-019-00935-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-019-00935-0).
- [32] G. R. Aisy and A. F. Solikha, "Development of community welfare based on zakat village index dimensions in supporting mustahik economic empowerment institutions (lpem)," *Social Science Studies*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 387–406, Sep. 30, 2022. DOI: [10.47153/sss25.4402022](https://doi.org/10.47153/sss25.4402022).
- [33] J. A. González-Mendoza and M. D. S. Fonseca-Vigoya, "Cadena de valor turismo de salud del área metropolitana de cúcuta," *Respuestas*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 28–44, Jan. 1, 2016. DOI: [10.22463/0122820x.632](https://doi.org/10.22463/0122820x.632).
- [34] M. Oliu-Barton, B. S. R. Pradelski, N. Woloszko, *et al.*, "The effect of covid certificates on vaccine uptake, health outcomes, and the economy," *Nature communications*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 3942–, Jul. 8, 2022. DOI: [10.1038/s41467-022-31394-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31394-1).
- [35] C. Decouttere, K. D. Boeck, and N. Vandaele, "Advancing sustainable development goals through immunization: A literature review," *Globalization and health*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 95–95, Aug. 26, 2021. DOI: [10.1186/s12992-021-00745-w](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-021-00745-w).
- [36] H. B. P. de Gallo, "Proposal for a forensic action guide for internet of things (iot) environments," *Computación y Sistemas*, vol. 26, no. 1, Mar. 30, 2022. DOI: [10.13053/cys-26-1-3898](https://doi.org/10.13053/cys-26-1-3898).

- [37] A. Zia and A. M. Todd, "Evaluating the effects of ideology on public understanding of climate change science: How to improve communication across ideological divides?" *Public understanding of science (Bristol, England)*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 743–761, Mar. 24, 2010. DOI: [10.1177/0963662509357871](https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662509357871).
- [38] D. Sirait, "E-learning of information technology for desa pagar pinang," *ABDIMAS TALENTA: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 342–344, Apr. 25, 2022. DOI: [10.32734/abdimastalenta.v7i1.6770](https://doi.org/10.32734/abdimastalenta.v7i1.6770).
- [39] I. Edvardsson, M. Troein, G. Ejlerstsson, and L. Lendahls, "Snus user identity and addiction. a swedish focus group study on adolescents," *BMC public health*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 975–975, Nov. 13, 2012. DOI: [10.1186/1471-2458-12-975](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-975).
- [40] J. Falk-Petersen, T. Bøhn, and O. T. Sandlund, "On the numerous concepts in invasion biology," *Biological Invasions*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1409–1424, Jan. 20, 2006. DOI: [10.1007/s10530-005-0710-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-005-0710-6).
- [41] Y. Aryal and J. Zhu, "Spatial and temporal variability of drought patterns over the continental united states from observations and regional climate models," *Journal of Meteorological Research*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 295–312, May 8, 2021. DOI: [10.1007/s13351-021-0045-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-021-0045-y).
- [42] S. M. Román, *La precariedad laboral y los nuevos espacios de exclusión social*, Jan. 22, 2016.
- [43] F. N. K., W. M. K., J. W. K., *et al.*, "Wastewater reuse for urban and peri-urban agriculture: Why it's not happening soon," *Journal of Engineering in Agriculture and the Environment*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 10–10, Dec. 21, 2020. DOI: [10.37017/jeae.v6i2.105](https://doi.org/10.37017/jeae.v6i2.105).
- [44] C. R. J. Mesa, G. M. López, and V. A. Rodriguez, *Política económica y contexto macroeconómico colombiano y mundial (2010-2011): Análisis y perspectivas*, Dec. 1, 2010.
- [45] M. Nnaji, A. A. Eze, C. C. Uzoma, and C. E. Nnaji, "Addressing household cooking fuel options in nigeria," *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, vol. 730, no. 1, pp. 012038–, Apr. 1, 2021. DOI: [10.1088/1755-1315/730/1/012038](https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/730/1/012038).
- [46] E. Johnston and G. Podleski, *Classroom lesson: Measuring the great depression*, Dec. 1, 2020.
- [47] A. Spence, "International comparisons," *Social Trends*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 181–211, Oct. 1, 2011. DOI: [10.1057/st.2011.8](https://doi.org/10.1057/st.2011.8).
- [48] S. S. Saffinee, M. A. Jamaludin, and M. I. Ibrahim, *Toyyiban practices in muslim friendly tourism ecosystem*, Apr. 29, 2021.
- [49] A. N. Asthana, "Voluntary sustainability standards in latin american agribusiness: Convergence and differentiation," *American-Eurasian J. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, 2014.
- [50] I. A. Nikolic, A. Stanciole, and M. Zaydman, *Chronic emergency : Why ncds matter*, Jul. 1, 2011.
- [51] L. C. O. Chamorro and J. E. C. Barriga, "Systemic approach to the influence of climate on the dynamics of the municipality of pasto, colombia," *Revista Ingenierías Universidad de Medellín*, vol. 22, no. 42, pp. 1–18, Aug. 16, 2023. DOI: [10.22395/rium.v22n42a3](https://doi.org/10.22395/rium.v22n42a3).